September 13,

2023

Christian Christensen, Ph.D. Hastings Agricultural Extension Center (HAEC)

### **UF IFAS** Extension

## Phosphorus (P) Recommendations for Potato 2022/2023 Season Update

Objective(s): To determine the optimum phosphorus fertilizer rate application for potato production in northeast Florida considering soil P availability using either Mehlich-1 (M1) or Mehlich-3 (M3) soil testing (contingent on 2022 season findings), total and marketable tuber yield, plant biomass and P uptake accumulation, and P-fertilizer use efficiency to support an update of the current UF/IFAS P- fertilizer recommendation rate.



- Investigators: Christensen, Bortolozo, Sharma, Fletcher, Zotarelli, Morgan
- Determine optimal Phosphorous (P) fertilizer rate for potatoes in NE Florida using Mehlich-1 and Mehlich-3 soil tests
- Consider yield, plant biomass, P uptake, and efficiency
- Support update to UF/IFAS P fertilizer recommendations

Potato Phosphorus Recommendations



 Challenges: Shallow root system coupled with a short growing season and heavy precipitation events in potato

## Background



Potatoes planted in cool soils might respond to up to 25 lbs/acre P205 applied as starter fertilizer in the furrow with the seed pieces.

See also Footnote 253 in Table 4 in UF/IFAS Standardized Nutrient Recommendations for Vegetable Crop Production in Florida

# Prior Activities and Accomplishments

## **Recent Potato Research**

### Hastings (TCAA) conducted by David Liu

### - 3-year large-scale study 2018-2020

- Three  $P_2O_5$  rates 25, 50, and 100 lb/ac
- Two farms each year
- Randomized complete block design with 4 reps

### **Nutrient Management LBR studies**

- 1 study near GCREC (2022)
- 2 studies near SWFREC (2022)
- 6 studies in or near HAEC (2022 & 2023)
- Six P205 rates 0, 45, 90, 135, 180, and 229 lbs/acre
- Randomized complete block design with 4 replications

### Many other contributors in this space

\*\*\*Dr. Lakesh Sharma\*\*\*



Fertilizer (source and application; banding), IPM, and other general cultivation practices were done taking into consideration common grower practices in the TCAA region.

### **Other P Rate Revision Efforts in the TCAA**

## Rate and timing of phosphorous fertilizer application for cabbage in Florida – Pesantes, Zotarelli, et. al.

- Increasing pre-plant phosphorus fertilizer rates significantly improved cabbage yield and phosphorus uptake.
- Additional in-season liquid phosphorus fertilizer further increased total cabbage yield and phosphorus uptake.

### Pre-Planting and Supplementary In-Season Liquid Phosphorous Fertilization for Potato – Pesantes, Zotarelli, et. al.

- Increasing pre-plant phosphorus fertilizer rates significantly increased potato total and marketable yield compared to unfertilized controls, despite high Mehlich-3 soil test phosphorus levels that recommended no fertilizer.
- Additional liquid phosphorus fertilizer applied during tuber initiation further increased potato total and marketable yield.
- Mehlich-3 soil test phosphorus levels were inaccurate for predicting potato yield response to phosphorus fertilizer, indicating the need for field calibration to determine critical soil phosphorus ranges.



## **2022 Provisional Phosphorous Rate**

### **October 25th, 2022**

- Formal announcement from PNOC Co-Chairs
- PNOC: <u>Plant Nutrient Oversight Committee</u>
- Provisional P fertilizer management recommendation change for potato (chipping & table)

### **Provisional P Rate Details**

- Application rate may be determined independent of preplant soil test
- P fertilizer may be applied up to current UF/IFAS recommended rate of 120 lbs/acre P205
- Footnotes 250, 253, 350, and 356 in "UF/IFAS Standardized Nutrient Recommendations for Vegetable Crop Production in Florida" still apply
- Additional 25 lbs/acre P205 under cold soil conditions

### UF FLORIDA

Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences

#### October 25, 2022

MEMORANDUM FROM THE UF/IFAS PLANT NUTRIENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (PNOC)

- To: UF/IFAS Extension Faculty
- From: PNOC Co-Chairs Dr. Robert Gilbert and Dr. Andra Johnson
- Subject: Phosphorus (P) fertilizer management recommendation change for potato

The following provisional P fertilizer management recommendation for commercial potato production (chipping and table) is effective immediately. It will remain in effect throughout the 2022-23 commercial potato growing season.

The P fertilizer application rate to potato may be determined independent of a preplant soil test for P. Therefore, P fertilizer may be applied up to the maximum UF/IFAS recommended rate for potato of 120 lbs/acre P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> regardless of the soil test P value.

Footnotes 250, 253, 350, and 356 in "UF/IFAS Standardized Nutrient Recommendations for Vegetable Crop Production in Florida" (<u>https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/CV002</u>) still apply, including the P recommendation for cool soils in Footnote 253.

"Provisional" means that PNOC will evaluate this recommendation after the 2023 potato season to determine if it should continue, change, or expire.

Please direct questions about this recommendation to Dr. Thomas Obreza (obreza@ufl.edu).

Main effects of pre-planting P fertilization ( $P_{pp}$ ) and in-season liquid P fertilization at emergence ( $P_{eme}$ ) and tuber initiation ( $P_{ini}$ ) on total yield, marketable yield of potato cv. Atlantic cultivated in Hastings, FL in 2019 and 2020.

	Total Yield (cwt/ac)			Marketable yield (cwt/ac)		
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	2019	2020		2019	2020	
P <sub>pp</sub> (lb/ac )						
0	382 ab	297 b		336 ab	250 b	
50	367 b	337 a		319 b	287 a	
100	381 ab	343 a		336 ab	284 a	
150	404 a	343 a		358 a	289 a	
P <sub>eme</sub> (Ib/ac)						
0	389	325		341	273	
25	378	333		334	281	
P <sub>ini</sub> (Ib/ac)						
0	374 b	337		329 b	284	
25	394 a	322		346 a	271	

## Main effects of pre-planting P fertilization ( $P_{pp}$ ) plant phosphorus uptake for potato cv. Atlantic cultivated in Hastings, FL in 2019 and 2020.

		Aboveground P uptake (lb/ac)		Tuber P uptake (lb/ac)			Whole plant total P uptake (lb/ac)		
		2019	2020	2019	2020		2019	2020	
P <sub>pp</sub> (kg ha-	<sup>L</sup> P)†								
0		2.05	1.43 b	15.79 ab	13.20		17.93 ab	14.72	
50		1.78	1.43 b	15.17 b	15.26		17.22 b	16.77	
100		1.96	1.61 ab	16.06 ab	16.77		18.20 ab	18.56	
150		2.14	1.87 a	18.02 a	15.08		20.34 a	17.04	

	Mehlich-3				Mehlich-1			
	PUpE (%)				PUpE (%)			
		2019	2020		2019	2020		
P <sub>pp</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> P)								
0		6.5	4.9		20.6 a	13.5 a		
25		5.8	5.5		15.8 b	13.6 a		
49		5.7	5.2		13.8 bc	11.5 ab		
74		6.0	4.8		13.2 c	9.0 b		

Whole plant update X 2.29 to convert P to P205 = average of 40.3 lb/ac of P205 Average 36 lb/ac of  $P_2O_5$  90% exported in tubers

# NE-FL Significant Findings

# Table 1. Initial soil Plevels and yieldsignificance

### 2022 Soil Test and Yield Results for LBR Studies

Site number	Crop	Beginning	Beginning	Beginning	Beginning	Total Yield	Total Yield at
		M1-P	M1-P Index	M3-P	M3-P	Significance	$0 P_2 O_5$ rate
SWFIa-5	Potato	198 ± 26	Very High	208 ± 23	High	**	508
SWFIa-6	Potato	179 ± 32	Very High	167 ± 19	High	***	364
WCFIa-5	Potato	90 ± 20	Very High	119 ± 29	High	NS	176
NEFla-1	Potato	167 ± 29	Very High	314 ± 57	High	***	386
NEFla-2	Potato	69 ± 9	Very High	198 ± 18	High	***	354
NEFIa-3	Potato	30 ± 10	Medium	67 ± 14	High	***	286
NEFla-4	Potato	140 ± 28	Very High	281 ± 58	High	NS	253
NEFla-5	Potato		Study end	ed because	of errant fertiliz	er application	
NEFla-6	Potato	186 ± 27	Very High	330 ± 47	High	**	400

\*\*\*2023 Soil Test Data Not Entirely Received from Analytical Lab\*\*\*

Phosphorus Recommendations for Potato; 2023 Season Update - Christian Christensen

### Figure 1. Yield response to P rate in 2022

### **2022 Northeast Florida Yield Results**





Investigations revealed notable patterns in yield response in relation to increased application of phosphate fertilizer (4/5)

Insufficiency evidence to propose limiting the application of P2O5 based solely on the amount of extractable soil P available before the application

Suggests that we have not observed a plateauing for potato yield response (Total or Marketable) in these soils

Phosphorus Recommendations for Potato; 2023 Season Update - Christian Christensen

# Figure 2. Yield response to P rate in 2023

### **2023 Northeast Florida Yield Results**

Preliminary Results

Similar yield response patterns in 2023, but data still preliminary (3/5)

No evidence yet to limit P2O5 application based on initial soil test P levels



Data for 2023 is still <u>provisiona</u>l, as we are continuing to receive updated data for lab analysis

Season was affected by a significant hailstorm event on April 27th at HAEC

Potential hail impact on our findings is currently under careful investigation

Phosphorus Recommendations for Potato; 2023 Season Update - Christian Christensen

# **Progress Towards Objectives**

## **Progress Towards Objectives: Ongoing**

### **Nearly all proposed work completed**

- Prepared and submitted all samples
- Waiting on data from labs

### Statistical modeling of multi-year data upcoming

- Models to study phosphate's effect on potato yields in 2022 & 2023
- Findings will update recommendations on phosphate use for potatoes
- Combining P balance and economic analysis

### **Evidence supports 120 lbs/acre P205 recommendation**

 Developing solution that is both economically viable to the producer and mindful of environmental stewardship

# **Closing Remarks & Next Steps**

### **Closing Remarks and Next Steps**

### Multi-year trials show yield response to P2O5 fertilizer

Ongoing data analysis

# Currently, soil tests alone don't indicate need to limit P2O5 application

• As it relates to <u>commercial</u> yield goals

### Project and data analysis will soon be nearing completion

• Will support extending current provisional rate of 125 lbs/acre of P205

# Next steps include constructing comprehensive EDIS manuscript and ongoing research

 Future studies should continue to investigate the potential for yield improvements from increased fertilizer P use efficiency (PUE), specifically through the adoption of 4R practices such as split P applications during the season, use of fertigation, and use of amendments

